

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/702,146	11/05/2003	Larry E. Curtis	SPL-46 / 47181-00283USPT	7810
23569 7	590 11/10/2004		EXAM	INER
SQUARE D COMPANY			PRETLOW, DI	EMETRIUS R
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT				
1415 SOUTH ROSELLE ROAD PALATINE, IL 60067		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2863		

DATE MAILED: 11/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		no				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/702,146	CURTIS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Demetrius R. Pretlow	2863				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted the period for reply will be period for	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a replept within the statutory minimum of thirty (do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHE, cause the application to become ABAN	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 I</u>	November 2003.					
· ·						
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-66</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-43,45-66</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>44</u> is/are objected to.	Claim(s) <u>44</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in App	olication No				
Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been re	eceived in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not re	eceived.				
		•				
Attachment(s)						

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

6) Other: _

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2863

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 44 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 44 is a method claim dependent on an apparatus claim. Examiner can not ascertain what claim 44 is dependent of. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3,5-18,20-35,3743,44-51,53-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bradley "Applying Predictive Maintenance to Power Quality".

Bradley teach a system for accumulating and evaluating electromagnetic phenomena of at least one power quality category of a power distribution system, comprising a circuit monitor that summarizes and trends said electromagnetic phenomena. Note Bradley page 229, right column, lines 8-15 and page 231, right column lines 9-12.

In reference to claims 2, 18, Bradley teach circuit monitor is informed of its context with said power distribution system to provide for metering configurations and data analysis. Note Bradley page 231, lines 2-16.

In reference to claims 5, 21, Bradley teach trending of data includes alerting said system when said power quality changes. Note page 237, left column lines 27-32 and page 230, right column lines 35-37.

Art Unit: 2863

In reference to claims 6, 22, Bradley wherein said at least one power quality category is weighted according to the load type present. Note page 230, left column lines 45-49 and right column, lines 1-21.

In reference to claims 7, 23 Bradley teach under voltage (voltage sag). Note Bradley Page 231, Fig. 1.

In reference to claims 8, 24 Bradley teach over voltage (voltage swell). Note Bradley Page 231, Fig. 1.

In reference to claims 9, 25, Bradley teach wherein said power quality category is voltage imbalance. Note Bradley Page 231, Fig. 1.

In reference to claims 10, 26 Bradley teach wherein said power quality category is waveform distortion. Note Bradley Page 231, Fig. 1.

In reference to claims 11, 27, Bradley teach wherein said power quality category is waveform distortion (impulses). Note Bradley Page 231, Fig. 1.

In reference to claims 12, 28, Bradley teach wherein said power quality category is voltage flicker. Note Bradley Page 231, Fig. 1.

In reference to claims 13, 29, Bradley teach wherein said power quality category is voltage sags. Note Bradley Page 231, Fig. 1.

In reference to claims 14, 30, Bradley teach wherein said power quality category is voltage swells. Note Bradley Page 231, Fig. 1.

In reference to claims 15, 31, Bradley teach wherein said power quality category is voltage interruptions. Voltage interruptions is one reason why power monitors are used. Note page 231, right column lines, 2-17.

In reference to claim 16, Bradley teach wherein said power quality category is transient overvoltages. Note Bradley Page 231, Fig. 1.

In reference to claim 17, Bradley teach a system for evaluating and trending power quality of a power distribution system comprising a system of networked circuit monitors, wherein each of said circuit monitors accumulates and evaluates the electromagnetic phenomena of at least one power quality category. Note Bradley page 229, right column, lines 8-15 and page 231, right column lines 2-19 and Figure 1.

In reference to claims 33, 66 Bradley teach wherein said system comprises a software application running on a networked personal computer. Note page 229, lines 4-15 and page 230, right column lines 53 to page 231, left column lines 1-5.

In reference to claim 34, Bradley teach a method of accumulating and evaluating electromagnetic phenomena of at least one power quality category of a power distribution system, comprising summarizing and trending said electromagnetic phenomena in a circuit monitor. Note Bradley page 229, right column, lines 8-15 and page 231, right column lines 9-12.

In reference to claims 35, 52 Bradley teach circuit monitor is informed of its context with said power distribution system to provide for metering configurations and data analysis. Note Bradley page 231, lines 2-16.

In reference to claims 38,54 Bradley teach trending of data includes alerting said system when said power quality changes. Note page 237, left column lines 27-32 and page 230, right column lines 35-37.

Art Unit: 2863

In reference to claims 39, 55 Bradley wherein said at least one power quality category is weighted according to the load type present. Note page 230, left column lines 45-49 and right column, lines 1-21.

In reference to claims 40, 56 Bradley teach under voltage (voltage sag). Note Bradley Page 231, Fig. 1.

In reference to claims 41, 57 Bradley teach over voltage (voltage swell). Note Bradley Page 231, Fig. 1.

In reference to claims 42, 58 Bradley teach wherein said power quality category is voltage imbalance. Note Bradley Page 231, Fig. 1.

In reference to claims 43, 59 Bradley teach wherein said power quality category is waveform distortion. Note Bradley Page 231, Fig. 1.

In reference to claim 44,60 Bradley teach wherein said power quality category is waveform distortion (impulses). Note Bradley Page 231, Fig. 1.

In reference to claims 45,61 Bradley teach wherein said power quality category is voltage flicker. Note Bradley Page 231, Fig. 1.

In reference to claim 46,62 Bradley teach wherein said power quality category is voltage sags. Note Bradley Page 231, Fig. 1.

In reference to claims 47,63 Bradley teach wherein said power quality category is voltage swells. Note Bradley Page 231, Fig. 1.

In reference to claim 48,64 Bradley teach wherein said power quality category is voltage interruptions. Voltage interruptions is one reason why power monitors are used. Note page 231, right column lines, 2-17.

Art Unit: 2863

In reference to claims 49, 65 Bradley teach wherein said power quality category is transient overvoltages. Note Bradley Page 231, Fig. 1.

In reference to claim 50, Bradley teach a method of accumulating and evaluating electromagnetic phenomena of at least one power quality category of a power distribution system, comprising a system of networked circuit monitors, wherein each of said circuit monitors accumulating and evaluating said electromagnetic phenomena in a circuit monitor. Note Bradley page 229, right column, lines 8-15 and page 231, right column lines 2-19 and Figure 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3,19,36 and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bradley in view of Chung et al. "Development of Power Quality Diagnosis System for Power Quality Improvement".

In reference to claim 3, Bradley does not teach wherein the determination of a power quality index is expressed as a single number for each said power quality category.

Art Unit: 2863

Chung et al. teach wherein the determination of a power quality index is expressed as a single number for each said power quality category. Note Chung et al. page 1259, right column lines 27-33.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Bradley to include the teaching of Chung et al. because it would prioritize the power quality events. Note Chung et al. page 1259, right column lines 27-33.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Demetrius R. Pretlow whose telephone number is (703) 272-2278. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on (571) 272-2269. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Demetrius R. Pretlow

Denetification 11/1/04

John Barlow
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800

Page 7